



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,689	-	01/30/2001	Haruhisa Sakuma	122.1433	8149
21171	7590	12/20/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700		LLP	DADA, BEEMNET W		
		ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20005	2135		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/771,6	889	SAKUMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit					
		Beemnet	: W. Dada	2135					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANDERS OF	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIC, vent, however, may a rep will expire SIX (6) MONT plication to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this or  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 26 September	2005.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b	)∏ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 Cl	<u>F</u> R 1 <u>.</u> 12 <u>1</u> (d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached	Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap nents have been r lle 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/771,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The request filed 26 September 2005 for a request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application 09/771,689 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. Claims 1, 14 and 23 have been amended. Claims 1-30 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark US Patent 4,636,622 in view of Urano et al (hereinafter Urano) US Patent No. 6,202,158.
- 4. As per claims 1, 14 and 23, Clark teaches an electronic apparatus comprising;

an identification system wherein user authentication is performed at a computer station based on stored credentials and if the authentication fails (i.e., condition of stored parameter for illegal use are established) a photographing device is activated and a photograph of the unauthorized user is taken and recorded (i.e., stored in a memory), and later the user will be identified based on at least the recorded photograph [column 2, lines 5-11, 47-61 and column 3, lines 18-31, 39-46]. Clark teaches a remote memory for storing image information, however Clark is silent on a memory attached to said apparatus. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to modify Clark so that the memory would be attached to said apparatus, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In Re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. Clark is

Application/Control Number: 09/771,689

Art Unit: 2135

silent on a first memory provided in said apparatus and a second memory attached to said apparatus. However, within the same field of endeavor Urano teaches an apparatus including a first memory, provided in said apparatus having stored therein a parameter for representing at least conditions of an illegal use of said apparatus (storing in a managing computer illegal access judgment standard) [column 3, lines 60-65, column 4, lines 1-12, lines 53-62 and column 6, lines 1-15], and a control unit which stores in a second memory illegal access information of the user and user system when said conditions represented by said parameter are established [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 6, lines 30-67]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Urano within the system of Clark because the modification simplifies detection of unauthorized user and further enhances security of the system.

Page 3

- 5. As per claim 2 and 15, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches controlling output of illegal access information stored in the memory in accordance with an output direction [column 9, lines 57-67 and column 10, lines 1-5].
- 6. As per claims 3, 16 and 24, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches said control unit directs a set up program to read out said parameter in said first memory and to record illegal access information in said second memory [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 6, lines 30-67].
- 7. As per claims 4, 17 and 25, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches said control unit directs an application program

Art Unit: 2135

running on said apparatus to set and alter said parameter in said first memory via an interface prepared on a program [column 5, lines 24-39].

- 8. As per claims 5, 18 and 26, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches said control unit directs the program to output illegal access information [column 9, lines 57-67 and column 10, lines 1-5].
- 9. As per claim 6, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches the apparatus wherein as said parameter recording timing representing conditions for obtaining image information is selected, set and stored in said first memory [column 7, lines 3-25 and lines 50-63].
- 10. As per claim 7, 19 and 27, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches the apparatus wherein illegal access information data management header, a recording time, a recording timing, a recording format and illegal access information data are recorded in-said second memory-[column 7, lines 3-25 and lines 50-63].
- 11. As per claims 8-10, 20 and 28, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches the apparatus wherein said recording timing of said illegal access information takes place when the power supply for said apparatus is turned on, program is activated, or operation is resumed (i.e., during log-in operations) [column 2, lines 27-32].

- 12. As per claim 11, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the method as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches the apparatus wherein said recording timing of illegal access information takes place when an illegal password is entered in said apparatus [column 6, lines 1-15].
- 13. As per claims 12, 21 and 29, the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the apparatus as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches the apparatus wherein information acquiring format and writing mode are selected, set and stored in said first memory as said parameter [column 7, lines 28-43].
- 14. As per claims 13, 22 and 30 the combination of Urano and Clark teaches the method as applied above. Furthermore, Urano teaches the apparatus wherein the mode of writing illegal access information into second memory is overwriting or an appending mode [column 5, lines 50-61].

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments-filed September 26, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Clark does not have a memory attached to the apparatus to store the acquired image information of the user using the apparatus, and display of the apparatus to display the image information as recited in the claims. Examiner disagrees.

Examiner would point out that Clark teaches an apparatus including a method wherein a photographing device is activated and a photograph of the unauthorized user is taken and recorded (i.e., stored in a remote memory), and later the user will be identified based on at least the recorded photograph [column 2, lines 5-11, 47-61 and column 3, lines 18-31, 39-46]. Clark teaches a remote memory for storing image information. However, One having ordinary skill in

the art at would have been able to modify Clark so that the memory would be attached to said apparatus, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. Examiner asserts that the combination of Clark and Urano teaches the apparatus as discussed above and therefore the rejection is respectfully maintained.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beemnet W. Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beemnet Dada

December 5, 2005

Primary Examiner
And Unit 2135